

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

No RD 78 MRR 2008

Karnataka Government Secretariat,  
Revenue Survey Building, K.R.Circle,  
Bangalore Dated 23/05/2009

CIRCULAR

Sub: Caution against using bhoomi application for unlawful entries and ratifying irregular entries reg.

Ref: (1) Government Circular No.RD 30 MRR 2004 dated 20/7/2002  
(2) Government Circular No.RD 30 MRR 2004 dated 24/9/2002  
(3) Government Order No.RD 78 MRR 2008 dated 23/09/2002.

\*\*\*\*\*

The Government would like to invite the reference to subject and Government circulars cited. Vide Government order No. RD 78 MRR 2008 dated 23/09/2002 it has been clearly indicated that,

- (a) The manual RTC used for the data entry work is the base document.  
(b) If there were to be any typographical differences between this base document and the RTC available in Bhoomi database, only such corrections have to be construed as the RTC correction and nothing else.  
(c) In some cases perhaps, the manual RTC used for the data entry itself might be wrong due to:
- (i) Wrong incorporation of a mutation.
  - (ii) Non-incorporation of a mutation and
  - (iii) An entry brought or deleted without a valid mutation.

In this context, the Tahsildar has to either suo moto or on application made by a citizen, send a proposal to the Assistant Commissioner for being taken as an appeal.

The illustration of a grave irregularity noticed in a case has been shown in the following table for the clear vigilance of all Revenue Officers.

RTC AVAILABLE IN BHOOMI DATABASE –EXACTLY AS PER THE MANUAL RECORD			
Taluk:Kollegal		Hobli:Kasaba	
Village:Rampura		Survey No..44	
Entries in column 9 of the RTC.			Entries in column 10 of the RTC.
Names	Extent	Khatha Number	Acquisition Type
Govindappa	4-00 acres.	122	MR.12/78-79 Sale
<b>Shankarappa</b>	<b>5-00 acres</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>MR.22/98-99 Partition.</b>
Ramachandraiah	4-38 acres	55	MR.2/99-00 LRF.

*Important -  
Page 78 - RTI Shreebala*

*ROT*  
*Coor*  
*1/10/09*

*01/05/09*

*11*

*1*


While the RTC in Bhoomi database is as per manual records, Sri. Shankarappa applied to the Tahsildar for the mutation extract MR.22/98-99. On verification of the mutation register for the year 98-99, the Tahsildar came to know that MR.22/98-99 does not relate to Sri Shankarappa. Now, Sri. Shankarappa has requested the Tahsildar to incorporate the Mutation Number in column 10 of the RTC and provide him a mutation extract. On the request of Sri. Shankarappa, the Tahsildar has entered a request for a mutation under Khatha change, as shown hereunder.

RTC AVAILABLE IN BHOOMI DATABASE -EXACTLY AS PER THE MANUAL RECORD			
Taluk:Kollegal	Hobli:Kasaba	Village:Rampura	Survey No. 44
Name of the person transacted	Extent	Name of the new owner	Extent
Shankarappa	5-00 acres	Shankarappa	5-00 acres

In this context, the Tahsildar should not have resorted to enter the request for mutation. He should have sent a suo moto proposal to the Assistant Commissioner for being heard under appeal and pass appropriate orders. The best legal action till then should have been, to put a Government restriction against possible transaction. This was the best and only way to proceed as in this case the manual RTC authenticity itself under doubt.

Therefore, the Government would strongly advice that, proper care to be taken by the Tahsildars, to ensure the genuineness of the RTC before initiating the transactions in Bhoomi. While a complete set of RTC is now given to the Village Accountants, a drive may be taken up for the data cleaning including the cross verification of acquisition of rights with reference to the previous mutation registers.

col 2 & 9 mismatch  
Finalized

  
 23/5/09

(RAJEEV CHAWLA)  
 Special Secretary to Govt.(Bhoomi)  
 Revenue Department

Copy to

1. All Regional Commissioners.
2. All Deputy Commissioners.
3. All Assistant Commissioners.
4. All Tahsildars.